

Partly Divisible Probability Distributions

S. Albeverio^{1,2,3}, H. Gottschalk¹, and J.-L. Wu^{1,2,4}

¹ Fakultät und Institut für Mathematik der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

² SFB237 Essen-Bochum-Düsseldorf, Germany

³ BiBoS Research Centre, Bielefeld-Bochum, Germany; and CERFIM, Locarno, Switzerland

⁴ Probability Laboratory, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, P R China

Abstract

Given a probability distribution μ a set $\Lambda(\mu)$ of positive real numbers is introduced, so that $\Lambda(\mu)$ measures the "divisibility" of μ . The basic properties of $\Lambda(\mu)$ are described and examples of probability distributions are given, which exhibit the existence of a continuum of situations interpolating the extreme cases of infinitely and minimally divisible probability distributions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): Primary 42A85; Secondary 60B15, 60E10

Key Words and Phrases: admissible probability distributions, convolution semigroups, divisibility, Fourier transforms.

Introduction

In probability theory it is often interesting to know whether a random variable X can be decomposed into a sum of n independent identical distributed random variables

$$X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n .$$

In terms of the probability distribution μ of X the above equation means that

$$\mu = \underbrace{\mu_{\frac{1}{n}} * \cdots * \mu_{\frac{1}{n}}}_{n \text{ times}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is the probability distribution of X_1 .

The notion of infinitely divisible probability distribution characterizes a special case of such phenomena, where the process of dividing a probability distribution μ into n -fold convolutions of probability distributions $\mu_{\frac{1}{n}}$ can be perpetuated *ad infinitum*.

On the other hand, some random events can only be decomposed into some finite number of identical elementary random events, as e.g. the n -fold coin-toss which can be decomposed at maximum into n elementary events, since the single coin-toss is clearly indecomposable [7] (For a recent presentation of "arithmetic properties" of probability distributions, see [9]).

The aim of this paper is to give a definition of a set $\Lambda(\mu)$ of positive real numbers which in some sense measures the "divisibility" of a probability distribution μ . From a dynamical viewpoint, the set $\Lambda(\mu)$ appears as the index set of some maximal convolution semigroup of probability measures $(\mu_t)_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$ associated to the given probability distribution μ . This generalizes the well-known notion of convolution semigroup of probability measures $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ associated with an infinitely divisible measure μ (see e.g. [2, 6]).

Let us remark that the idea of considering the set $\Lambda(\mu)$ has already been introduced in the classic work [4]. In this paper, we develop however different techniques for the study of $\Lambda(\mu)$ and for the construction of illustrative examples for the structure of $\Lambda(\mu)$.

1 Notations and Definitions

By a characteristic function we mean a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties (c.f. [7])

1. f is continuous;
2. f is positive-definite;
3. $f(0) = 1$.

Let μ be a probability distribution, i.e. a probability measure on the real line. Then, the Fourier transform $\hat{\mu} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of μ is given by

$$\hat{\mu}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iyx} \mu(dx), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Sometimes, we will also use the symbol $\mathcal{F}$ for the Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ for its inverse. By Bochner's theorem [3] the Fourier transform provides a one to one correspondence between probability distributions and characteristic functions. We may thus say a characteristic function $\hat{\mu}$ is associated to a probability measure μ (and vice versa).

We call a probability distribution admissible, if the associated characteristic function maps $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. By $\mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the collection of all admissible probability distributions. The sense of this notion is clarified by the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a uniquely determined continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\hat{\mu} = e^\psi$.*

Proof Existence: Let $I \subset [0, \infty)$ be the maximal subinterval of $[0, \infty)$, for which a function ψ fulfilling the requirements of the lemma can be defined. Then $I \neq \emptyset$, since in a right neighborhood of zero $\log \hat{\mu}$ fulfills the requirements, where $\log$ stands for the principal branch of the complex logarithm. Suppose $I = [0, a)$ for some $a > 0$. Let $y_0 \in [0, a)$ such that for $y \in [y_0, a]$ $\hat{\mu}(y)$ does not hit the semi axis in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ that starts at 0 and goes through $-\hat{\mu}(y_0)$. Thus

$$\psi(y) = \psi(y_0) + (\psi(y) - \psi(y_0)) = \psi(y_0) + \log(\hat{\mu}(y)\hat{\mu}(y_0)^{-1}).$$

Since the limit of the right hand side for $y \uparrow a$ exists by our assumptions on y_0 , ψ can be continuously extended to $[0, a]$, which is in contradiction with the maximality of I .

Similarly we get a contradiction, if we assume $I = [0, a]$ for some $a > 0$: We choose ϵ small enough, such that $\hat{\mu}(y)$ for $y \in [a, a + \epsilon)$ does not hit the semi axis from 0 through $-\hat{\mu}(a)$ and we extend ψ continuously by setting $\psi(y) := \psi(a) + \log(\hat{\mu}(y)\hat{\mu}(a)^{-1})$ for $y \in [a, a + \epsilon)$.

Thus we conclude that $I = [0, \infty)$ and a similar consideration yields that ψ can be well-defined on the closed negative semi axis $I' = (-\infty, 0]$, too.

Uniqueness: Suppose ψ_1 and ψ_2 fulfill the requirements of the lemma. For $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $e^{\psi_1(y)} = e^{\psi_2(y)}$, hence we get

$$\psi_1(y) - \psi_2(y) = 2\pi i k(y), \quad \text{with } k(y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We remark that the left hand side is continuous in y , thus the right hand side must also be continuous, which is possible only if it is constant. Evaluating the above equation for $y = 0$ then yields that the right hand side is equal to zero for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

For instance all infinitely divisible probability distributions are admissible [7]. The function ψ defined in Lemma 1.1 in the case of an infinitely divisible probability distribution coincides with the second characteristic [7] of the infinitely divisible probability distribution. From now on, we will use this term also in the general case of admissible probability distributions.

Clearly, if a characteristic function $\hat{\mu}$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{C} - (-\infty, 0]$, then the second characteristic of the associated probability distribution μ equals to $\log \hat{\mu}$, where $\log$ denotes the main branch of the complex logarithm.

We are now ready to give the central definition of this article.

Definition 1.2 For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$, we define the subset $\Lambda(\mu)$ of positive real numbers as follows:

$$\Lambda(\mu) := \{t > 0 : e^{t\psi} \text{ is a characteristic function}\}.$$

Here ψ denotes the second characteristic of μ .

We remark that [4](see page 45) contains a corresponding definition of the set $\Lambda(\mu)$, however without giving precise conditions on the class of probability distributions μ considered.

In our terminology, the statement of Schoenberg's theorem [2] can be formulated as follows: μ is infinitely divisible if and only if μ is admissible and $\Lambda(\mu) = (0, \infty)$. In this paper we want to ask:

Problem 1.3 *What kind of set is $\Lambda(\mu)$ if μ is not infinitely divisible?*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some general properties of the set $\Lambda(\mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$.

In Section 3, we explain what information concerning the divisibility of μ we can extract from $\Lambda(\mu)$.

Finally in Section 4, we present concrete examples for μ including the case $\Lambda(\mu) = \mathbb{N}$ and the case where $\Lambda(\mu)$ includes a semi-bounded interval but not $(0, \infty)$. Since in the latter case the lower bound of the semi-bounded interval can go to zero, our set $\Lambda(\mu)$ gives a "calibration" of divisibility of admissible probability distributions μ , interpolating between the extreme cases $\Lambda(\mu) = (0, \infty)$ and $\Lambda(\mu) = \mathbb{N}$.

2 Some Properties of $\Lambda(\mu)$

In order to derive some basic properties for the set $\Lambda(\mu)$, let us first recall the following identity for the Fourier transform of the convolution of two probability measures μ and ν :

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu * \nu) = \mathcal{F}(\mu) \mathcal{F}(\nu) \quad (2)$$

In particular, the product of two characteristic functions is also a characteristic function. This gives rise to the following

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\Lambda(\mu)$ is a semigroup containing 1. Furthermore, $\Lambda(\mu)$ is closed in $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof Let ψ denote the second characteristic of μ . Since $\hat{\mu} = e^{1 \cdot \psi}$, $1 \in \Lambda(\mu)$.

Suppose that $s, t \in \Lambda(\mu)$, then $e^{s\psi}$ and $e^{t\psi}$ are characteristic functions. It follows that $e^{s\psi}e^{t\psi} = e^{(s+t)\psi}$ is also a characteristic function and thus $s + t \in \Lambda(\mu)$. The conclusion that $1 \in \Lambda(\mu)$ is by the definition of $\Lambda(\mu)$.

Furthermore, we choose a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Lambda(\mu)$ and we assume that $t_n \rightarrow t$, where $t \in (0, \infty)$. Clearly $e^{t\psi}$ is continuous and fulfills $e^{t\psi(0)} = 1$. Since the pointwise limit of positive definite functions is a positive definite function and $e^{t_n\psi} \rightarrow e^{t\psi}$ pointwise, $e^{t\psi}$ is also positive definite and thus has all properties of a characteristic function. Therefore $t \in \Lambda(\mu)$. ■

Since $1 \in \Lambda(\mu)$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$, an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that $\mathbb{N} \subset \Lambda(\mu)$. From now on we call an admissible probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\Lambda(\mu) = \mathbb{N}$ minimally divisible, since $\mathbb{N}$ is the smallest (closed) semigroup in $(0, \infty)$ including 1. This situation clearly is opposite to the infinitely divisible situation, where $\Lambda(\mu) = (0, \infty)$, i.e., $\Lambda(\mu)$ is maximal (namely, infinite divisible probability measures are "maximally divisible").

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ with second characteristic ψ and $t \in \Lambda(\mu)$ there exists a uniquely determined measure μ_t with characteristic function $\hat{\mu}_t := e^{t\psi}$. Applying the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ to equation (2), we get that the family of probability distributions $(\mu_t)_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$ forms a convolution semigroup of probability measures, i.e. for $s, t \in \Lambda(\mu)$, we obtain $\mu_s * \mu_t = \mu_{s+t}$.

Thus, $\Lambda(\mu)$ can be viewed as an index-set for the "time-index" t of the convolution semigroup of probability measures $(\mu_t)_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$. From the definition of $\Lambda(\mu)$, it is clear that $(\mu_t)_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$ is the maximal convolution semigroup which can be associated with the admissible probability distribution μ by the requirement that $\mu = \mu_1$.

Furthermore, for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ with second characteristic ψ and $t \in \Lambda(\mu)$, we also have $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ and the second characteristic of μ_t is clearly $t\psi$. From Definition 1.2 we can then get the following relation

$$\Lambda(\mu_t) = \frac{s}{t} \Lambda(\mu_s) \quad s, t \in \Lambda(\mu). \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) gives a simple scaling property for the family $\{\Lambda(\mu_t)\}_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$.

The following proposition investigates some properties of general closed semigroups $S \subset (0, \infty)$ including 1. Here S does not necessarily have to be associated with some admissible probability distribution.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $S \subset (0, \infty)$ be a closed semigroup with $1 \in S$.*

1. *Either $S = (0, \infty)$ or $S \subset [\lambda, \infty)$ for some $\lambda > 0$.*
2. *If the interior of S is non-empty, then $S \supset [\lambda, \infty)$ for some λ , $0 < \lambda < \infty$.*

Proof 1. Suppose that $\exists \lambda > 0$ such that $S \subset [\lambda, \infty)$. Then there is a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$ with $t_n \rightarrow 0$. Now we fix an arbitrary $t \in (0, \infty)$ and we choose a subsequence $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, say, of $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $s_n < t$ and $s_n < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let m_n be the largest integer with $m_n s_n \leq t$. By the semigroup property of S we have $m_n s_n \in S$. Since by construction, $|m_n s_n - t| < \frac{1}{n}$, we then get $m_n s_n \rightarrow t$ and the closedness of S now implies $t \in S$. Thus $S = (0, \infty)$.

2. If the open interior of S is non-empty, there exists a non-empty open interval $(a, b) \subset S$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large such that $n(b - a) > 1$. By the semigroup property, $(na, nb) \subset S$. Thus, for $t > na$, there is $t' \in (na, nb)$ and a number $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $t = t' + m$. Since $\mathbb{N} \subset S$ and $t' \in S$, by the semigroup property, we get $t = t' + m \in S$. Since S is closed, we thus proved $S \supset [na, \infty)$. ■

3 The Connection with Divisibility

Motivated by the result of Proposition 2.2, we define for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ the following two parameters, which give a rough characterization of the set $\Lambda(\mu)$:

$$\lambda_0(\mu) := \inf \Lambda(\mu)$$

$$\lambda_1(\mu) := \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if the open interior of } \Lambda(\mu) \text{ is empty} \\ \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \Lambda(\mu) \supset [\lambda, \infty)\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

To connect these two parameters with the problem of divisibility, as it was posed in equation (1), we introduce the following notions. First of all, every non-infinitely divisible probability distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a$ is called partly divisible. A partly divisible probability distribution μ is also called n -divisible if n is the largest integer such that equation (1) has a solution. Furthermore, we say that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ is divisible up to m , if equation (1) can be solved for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and m is the maximal integer with this property. Here we include infinitely divisible probability distributions $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ by saying that μ is ∞ -divisible or divisible up to ∞ respectively, which is clearly the same (c.f. Prop. 2.2.1.). Finally, we adopt the conventions that $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$.

For an admissible probability distribution μ which is n -divisible and divisible up to m , we set $\tilde{\lambda}_0(\mu) := \frac{1}{n}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_1(\mu) := \frac{1}{m}$. Using the fact that any solution $\mu_{\frac{1}{q}}$ of an equation of the type (1) by equation (2) must be admissible and also using the scaling property (3), we conclude that $\tilde{\lambda}_0(\mu) \in \Lambda(\mu)$ and $1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{m} = \tilde{\lambda}_1(\mu) \in \Lambda(\mu)$. Consequently, we have the following inequalities:

$$0 \leq \lambda_0(\mu) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_0(\mu) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1(\mu) \leq [\lambda_1(\mu)^{-1}]^{-1} \leq \infty.$$

Here $[r]$ stands for the integer part of $r \in [0, \infty]$ with the convention that $[\infty] = \infty$. Thus the parameters $\lambda_0(\mu)^{-1}$ and $[\lambda_1(\mu)^{-1}]$ give, respectively, the upper and lower bounds for the n -divisibility and divisibility up to m of a probability distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$. To explain further the connection between the parameters $\lambda_j(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_j(\mu)$, $j = 0, 1$, let us introduce the notation μ^{*n} for the n -fold convolution of a probability measure with itself. With this notation, equation (1) reads $\mu = (\mu_{\frac{1}{n}})^{*n}$.

In order to generalize this equation to non-integer n , for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ with second characteristic ψ and $t \in \Lambda(\mu)$ we define $\mu^{*t} := \mu_t = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{t\psi})$. By equation (2) we see that this coincides with the definition given before in the case that $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We now generalize the problem posed by equation (1) to the problem whether for a given $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ there exists an admissible measure $\mu_{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ which solves

$$\mu = (\mu_{\frac{1}{\beta}})^{* \beta}. \quad (5)$$

By the scaling property (3), a solution of equation (5) exists if and only if $\frac{1}{\beta} \in \Lambda(\mu)$. If we call a solution of equation (1) an integer root of μ , then by the above motivations it seems natural to speak of a solution $\mu_{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ for general $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ of (5) as a real root of μ .

Clearly for a partly divisible $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$, $\beta = \lambda_0(\mu)^{-1}$ is the largest number for which there exists a solution of equation (5). We thus call that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ $\lambda_0(\mu)^{-1}$ -real divisible. Analogously μ is called real divisible up to $\lambda_1(\mu)^{-1}$, since $\beta' := \lambda_1(\mu)^{-1}$ is the largest number, such that equation (5) can be solved

for all $\beta \in (0, \beta')$. Here again the cases of ∞ -real divisibility and real divisibility up to ∞ coincide in the case of infinite divisibility.

As we have seen above, the $\lambda_j(\mu)$, $j = 0, 1$ can be interpreted as parameters which measure the divisibility of a probability distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$. Applying the scaling property (3) to the definition of $\lambda_j(\mu)$ we immediately get

$$\lambda_j(\mu_t) = \frac{1}{t} \lambda_j(\mu) \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \quad t \in \Lambda(\mu).$$

Since $\mathbb{N} \subset \Lambda(\mu)$, we can deduce that for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ $\lambda_0(\mu)$ can be arbitrarily close to zero. In the following section we will construct an admissible probability distribution μ such that $\Lambda(\mu)$ has non-empty open interior. Therefore, there exist examples for admissible probability distributions μ with $\lambda_1(\mu)$ arbitrarily close to zero.

Thus, from the both viewpoints – the real divisibility one and the one of real divisibility up to some positive real number – there exist examples of partly divisible probability measures which are arbitrarily close to infinite divisible probability measures. This is what we called in the introduction a ”calibration” of divisibility interpolating between the two extreme cases $\Lambda(\mu) = (0, \infty)$ and $\Lambda(\mu) = \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.1 *We would like to point out that it is natural to extend our considerations to the case of probability distributions on locally compact abelian groups [2, 6]. However, since Lemma 1.1 depends crucially on the topological structure of the real line $\mathbb{R}$, the notion of admissibility in the framework of locally compact abelian groups has to be revised. We will investigate this problem in the forthcoming work [1].*

4 Examples

In this section we present two examples: one example showing the existence of minimally divisible probability distributions and the other one presenting a partly divisible probability distribution μ with an associated set $\Lambda(\mu)$ which has non-empty open interior and therefore $0 < \lambda_1(\mu) < \infty$.

This is certainly no more than an initial step towards the mathematical problem of determining all closed semigroups $S \subset (0, \infty)$ including 1 which can occur as index sets of convolution semigroups of probability measures $(\mu_t)_{t \in \Lambda(\mu)}$ associated with some $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^a(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mu_1 = \mu$.

Let us remark that [4](see page 45) provides two examples where $\Lambda(\mu) = \{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \dots\}$ for a special (non-admissible) finite positive measure μ and respectively where $\Lambda(\mu) = [\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ for a specific (admissible) finite positive measure μ .

4.1 A Minimally Divisible Probability Distribution

Let θ be a given probability distribution and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We set

$$\nu := (1 + \alpha)^{-1}(\delta_0 + \alpha\theta) .$$

Then ν is admissible and $\hat{\nu}$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{C} - (-\infty, 0]$, since $\hat{\nu} = (1 + \alpha)^{-1}(1 + \alpha\hat{\theta})$ and $|\hat{\theta}| \leq 1$. Therefore the second characteristic of ν is simply $\log \hat{\nu}$. For $t \in (0, \infty)$, we get that $e^{t \log \nu} = \nu^t$. Using the series expansion formula for the main branch of the complex function $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto (1 + z)^t \in \mathbb{C}$ at 0 for $|z| < 1$, we calculate further and get

$$\hat{\nu}^t = \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \hat{\theta}^l . \quad (6)$$

The binomial coefficient $\binom{t}{l}$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ is defined as follows:

$$\binom{t}{l} := \frac{t(t-1) \cdots (t-l+1)}{l!} , \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)$$

and $\binom{t}{l} := 1$ for $l = 0$. We do not know so far, whether for an arbitrarily fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\hat{\nu}^t$ is a characteristic function. But certainly $\hat{\nu}^t$ is a tempered distribution [8]. Therefore, in order to calculate the inverse Fourier transforms of $\hat{\nu}^t$ we can apply the theory of the Fourier transform of tempered distributions which extends the theory of the Fourier transform of probability measures [8]. We also denote the extended Fourier transform and its inverse by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, respectively. We recall that equation (2) holds also for the extended Fourier transform (if at least one of both sides of (2) is well-defined) [8]. Taking the inverse Fourier transform of the left hand side of (6), by the linearity and weak continuity of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ [8] as well as the uniform convergence of the right hand side of (6), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\nu^t) &= \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}^l) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \theta^{*l} , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we have inductively applied equation (2) and the convention that $\theta^{*0} = \delta_0$. Taking now $\theta = \delta_1$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\nu}^t) = \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \delta_l , \quad (9)$$

since $(\delta_1)^{*l} = \delta_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

For $t \in \mathbb{N}$, all the binomial coefficients are positive for $l \leq t$ and zero for $t < l$. Thus, the right hand side of (9) is a positive measure with total mass 1 and therefore a probability distribution. On the other hand, if t is not an integer, the sign of the binomial coefficients is positive for $l = 0, \dots, [t]$. For $l = [t] + 1, [t] + 2, \dots$, the sign of the binomial coefficients alternates from $+$ to $-$ by starting with the $+$ sign. Therefore, the right hand side of (9) is a signed measure [5] with non- zero negative part. Consequently, for $t > 0$, $t \notin \mathbb{N}$ we have $t \notin \Lambda(\nu)$. Thus $\Lambda(\nu) = \mathbb{N}$.

4.2 A Partly Divisible Probability Distribution which is Real Divisible Up To $\rho > 0$

We take again, as in the above example, that $\nu := (1 + \alpha)^{-1}(\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By γ_t we denote the Cauchy distribution with parameter $t \in (0, \infty)$, i.e.

$$\gamma_t(dx) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2} dx .$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [7, 2, 6]) that γ_t is infinitely divisible and has the characteristic function $\hat{\gamma}_t(y) = e^{-t|y|}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

We consider the measure $\mu := \gamma_1 * \nu$, which is clearly admissible and has second characteristic $\log \hat{\mu} = -|y| + \log \hat{\nu}$. Now we want to calculate $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{t \log \hat{\mu}}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\gamma}_1^t \hat{\nu}^t)$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ in the sense of tempered distributions. Applying equation (2) to the Fourier transform of tempered distributions, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}^t) &= \gamma_t * \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\nu}^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \gamma_t * \delta_l , \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have applied formula (9). Taking into account that

$$\gamma_t * \delta_l(dx) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{(x - l)^2 + t^2} dx$$

for $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we can interpret the right hand side of (10) as a possibly signed measure with the density (with respect to. dx)

$$f_t(x) := \frac{1}{\pi(1 + \alpha)^t} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \frac{t}{(x - l)^2 + t^2} , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in (0, \infty). \quad (11)$$

Clearly, for fixed $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}^t)$ is a probability distribution if and only if f_t is a non-negative function (the fact that then the total mass of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}^t)$ is 1 is a consequence of $\hat{\mu}^t(0) = 1$). Thus $t \in \Lambda(\mu) \Leftrightarrow f_t \geq 0$.

Now we choose $t \in [\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}, \infty)$. We want to prove that for a such number t

$$\alpha^l \frac{t}{(x-l)^2 + t^2} \geq \alpha^{l+1} \frac{t}{(x-(l+1))^2 + t^2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

Substituting $y := x - (l+1)$, we get that this is equivalent to

$$y^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}y + (t^2 - \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But the latter inequality holds, since the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial on the left hand side is non-positive by our choice $t \geq \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}$.

Furthermore, for $l = [t] + 1, [t] + 2, \dots$ we observe that the binomial coefficient $\binom{t}{l}$ is either zero (if t is integer) or the sign of the binomial coefficient alternates starting with the positive sign. For non-integer t and $l > [t]$, we get the following estimate

$$\left| \frac{\binom{t}{l}}{\binom{t}{l+1}} \right| = \left| \frac{l+1}{l-t} \right| > 1.$$

We conclude that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}, \infty)$, $f_t(x) \geq 0$ since (1) the summands on the right hand side of equation (11) are positive for $l = 0, \dots, [t]$, and (2) the remaining summands are either zero (if $t \in \mathbb{N}$) or have alternating sign starting with the positive sign and the absolute value of these summands decreases monotonically.

We have thus proved that $[\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}, \infty) \subset \Lambda(\mu)$.

Finally, it remains to prove that μ is partly divisible, i.e. non-infinitely divisible. This is equivalent to $f_t(x) < 0$ for some $t \in (0, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. To verify this, we let $x = 2$ and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f_t(2) &= \frac{1}{\pi(1+\alpha)^t} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 t^2 (t-1)}{2 t^2} + \sum_{l=0, l \neq 2}^{\infty} \binom{t}{l} \alpha^l \frac{t}{(2-l)^2 + t^2} \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{\pi(1+\alpha)^t} \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} (t-1) + t \sum_{l=0, l \neq 2}^{\infty} \left| \binom{t}{l} \right| \alpha^l \right) \longrightarrow -\frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$, which implies that $f_t(2) < 0$ if $t > 0$ is small enough.

Acknowledgements The financial support of D.F.G. (SFB 237) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper.

References

- [1] Albeverio, S., Gottschalk, H., Wu, J.-L.: *Partly divisible probability measures on locally compact abelian groups and related topics*. SFB-237 preprint No. 340, Bochum 1996.
- [2] Berg, C., Forst, G.: *Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **87**. Berlin/Heidelberg/New York: Springer-Verlag 1975.
- [3] Bochner, S.: *Harmonic Analysis and the Theory of Probability*. Berkeley/Los Angeles: University of California Press 1955.
- [4] Dugué, D.: *Arithmétique des lois de probabilités*. Mémorial des Sciences Math., Vol. **137**, Paris: Gauthier–Villars 1957.
- [5] Halmos, P.R.: *Measure Theory*. New York/Heidelberg/Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [6] Heyer, H.: *Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **94**. Berlin/Heidelberg/New York: Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [7] Lukacs, E.: *Characteristic Functions*. 2nd ed. London: Griffin 1970.
- [8] Reed, M., Simon, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. Vol.II. Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. New York: Academic Press 1975.
- [9] Ruzsa, I.Z., Székely, G.J.: *Algebraic Probability Theory*. Chichester/New York: Wiley 1988.